



2019  
**NT KONFERENCA**

21. - 23. MAJ 2019

**#ntk19**

**Matija Lah**

Mi Lambda, Matija Lah, s.p.

# Fun with Legal Information in Microsoft SQL Server: Correlating Offers and Counter-offers

# Speaker Introduction

## Matija Lah

Lawyer

SolidQ CEE, Mentor

Microsoft MVP (Data Platform), Since 2007

16+ years of SQL Server experience

Specializing in Legal Information Management  
and Natural Language Processing

Current focus areas

Database Engine

Integration Services

Analysis Services (incl. Data Mining)

Based in Ljubljana, Slovenia

[mlah@solidq.com](mailto:mlah@solidq.com), @MatijaLah



#ntk19

# Agenda

Contractual Law Essentials  
(Sale of Goods)

The Offer

Matching Offers and Counter-offers

What's Available in SQL Server



# Laws and Contracts

## Laws

Define the rules imperatively,  
*erga omnes*

## Contracts

Define the rules autonomously,  
*inter partes*

## Norm (legal rule)

Obligation to either do, not do, or allow something to be done



# Contract

Agreement between two (or more) parties to *do, not do, or allow* something to be done

Typically, *mutual* – one party promises to do\* in return for the other party to do\*

E.g.: *sale of goods*

The seller agrees to deliver goods to the buyer in return for payment

The buyer agrees to pay for the goods in return for receiving (allowing the seller to deliver) them

# Sale of Goods

Defined by law of individual countries  
(to be used in those countries)

Defined by international conventions  
(to be used internationally\*)

E.g. United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International  
Sale of Goods  
(CISG, the Vienna Convention)

Both define the principal rules for the sale of goods

The parties of a specific contract can define  
additional, more specific rules, and must  
determine the essentials

(which must be set in accordance with law/convention)



# What Makes a CISG Sales Contract?

One subject identified as the **seller**

One subject identified as the **buyer**

**Goods** determined

(Class, Quality, Quantity, and Price\*)

Both subjects indicate **intent to be bound** by the contract

Intent must be explicit, except in certain specific situations

Both subjects **agree to use CISG**

All of the above determined **at the same time**





# The Offer

First step towards the contract

„One half“ of the contract

The *seller* offers to *deliver goods* of a certain class/quality/quantity at a certain *price* at a certain *time*

The offer is accepted, if the *buyer* offers to *receive the specified goods* at the *specified price* at the *specified time*

Once the offer is accepted, the contract is established



#ntk19

**Offer to sell must be *correlated* with offer to buy**

Unless ...

**... the law says  
otherwise.**

# Determining Entities and Attributes

## Distinguish between sellers and buyers

(also: make sure they agree to use CISG)

Seller and buyer must not be the same person

## Goods

Class, Quality, Quantity, Price

(measurable properties with appropriate measuring units)

## Temporal properties

Offer validity is a closed, or open-ended interval

## Offers and contracts

An offer can be accepted, if it correlates with one or more counter-offers

A one-time offer cannot be accepted more than once



# Determining Correlation (Logically)

The offer and the counter-offer are valid at the same time

Measuring time in international trade

If time is *specified*,  $\pm 2$  days

If time is *not specified*, immediately

Immediately = within 8 days

The set of goods offered by the seller *is equal to* the set demanded by the buyer

The same class of goods of the same quality, quantity and price



# Determining Correlation (Physically)

Seller or buyer?

Separate sets of tables

The offer and the counter-offer are valid at the same time

Temporal predicates for intervals

The set of goods offered by the seller is the set demanded by the buyer

Relational division

One-time vs. continuous offers

Contract (the result of correlating exactly two offers) is a separate entity\*



#ntk19

# Demo

Correlating Offers and Counter-offers



# Conclusion (SQL Server Capabilities)

## Temporal predicates

No support for application-controlled temporal data

Temporal predicates support literal values or variables

## Relational division

No native query construct

GROUP BY...HAVING...COUNT()

Columnstore indexes

<https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sql/relational-databases/indexes/columnstore-indexes-overview>

Batch mode retrieval with segment elimination

Aggregate pushdown, and local aggregates



# Further Reading

*Dejan Sarka*

## **Working With Temporal Data in SQL Server**

(<https://www.pluralsight.com/courses/working-with-temporal-data-sql-server>)

*Joe Celko*

## **Divided We Stand: The SQL of Relational Division**

(<https://www.simple-talk.com/sql/t-sql-programming/divided-we-stand-the-sql-of-relational-division/>)

*Dwain Camps*

## **High Performance Relational Division in SQL Server**

(<https://www.simple-talk.com/sql/learn-sql-server/high-performance-relational-division-in-sql-server/>)

*Niko Neugebauer*

## **Columnstore**

(<http://www.nikoport.com/columnstore/>)





# Homework

Implement rules for determining dates used in international trade

Allow quantity to be specified as a range (interval)

## Bonus

Implement more efficient temporal predicates

Compare different relational division techniques

